

**From:** Brian Newman [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 24 October 2014 11:39  
**To:** Chris Stanworth  
**Cc:** Planning Email Group  
**Subject:** RE: Uswayford Farm Harbottle - ref. 14NP0079

Dear Mr Stanworth,

I confirm my agreement to an extension of time in respect of this application as outlined below. The 9m tower was confirmed with the MoD by phone conversation as it was they who informed me that the existing turbine was mounted to a 9m tower.

Regards,

Brian Newman

---

**From:** Chris Stanworth [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 24 October 2014 11:01  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Tony Gates; Susannah Buylla  
**Subject:** RE: Uswayford Farm Harbottle - ref. 14NP0079

Dear Mr Newman

I write in response to your email yesterday, many thanks for confirming the change to the proposal and providing a new plan. I have just picked this case up this week.

The Design and Access Statement submitted with the application indicates in section 4 that the turbine has a tower of 15 metres and tip height of 17.8 metres. As your email clearly confirms a 9 metre tower and not a 15 metre one, I will take this email as an amendment to this design and access statement.

Due to the changes to the plans (and the potential for the initial plans and description to have been misleading/confusing to third parties), it will be necessary for us to re-consult consultees and put another notice at the site for a minimum 21 day period. In light of this, **I would appreciate it if you could agree in writing to an extension of time to allow for this to happen, until the 30<sup>th</sup> November 2014 to enable us to deal with the amendments.** I understand that Tony Gates has discussed this with your client and that Mr Gray is happy to agree to this. We will endeavour to get a decision out as soon as possible within this period.

If you can confirm this ASAP, then I can get the ball rolling with re-consultation to enable a decision to be made as soon as possible. Please can you copy your response to [planning@nnpa.org.uk](mailto:planning@nnpa.org.uk), as I will be away from the office next week.

With regard to your comments regarding a 9 metre turbine being confirmed to the MoD, I am not sure what correspondence you are referring to here, but the MoD's consultation response of 16<sup>th</sup> October makes clear that they believe the application is for a turbine of 17.8 metres is height. However, as the MoD has raised no objection to a turbine at this height, I would not expect a lower turbine height to be a problem for them, although this still requires re-consultation.

Kind Regards  
Chris