

WESTNEWTON BRIDGE

WFD ASSESSMENT

Prepared for

Environment Agency

Prepared by

cbec eco-engineering UK Ltd

April 2015

cbec Project #: U13-1003

www.cbecoeng.co.uk T/F: 01975 564 492 info@cbecoeng.co.uk

cbec eco-engineering UK Ltd 3 Aberdeen Road, Alford Aberdeenshire, AB33 8ED Company Number: SC385000 | VAT Number: GB111101405



Services provided pursuant to this agreement are intended solely for the use and benefit of Northumberland County Council. No other person or entity shall be entitled to rely on the services, opinions, recommendations, plans or specifications provided pursuant to this agreement without the express written consent of cbec ecoengineering UK Ltd., 3 Aberdeen Road, Alford, AB33 8ED.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction1				
1	L.1	Project background	1		
1	L.2	The regulatory backgrpound	1		
1	L.3	Proposed construction activities to be implemented	2		
2.	Met	hods	4		
2	2.1	Approaches to compliance assessment	4		
3.	Base	eline information	5		
3	3.1	screening of River Water Bodies for assessment	5		
3	3.2	screening of groundWater Bodies for assessment	8		
4.	Asse	essment of effects from Westnewton Bridge project	8		
5.	Cond	clusion	8		
6.	References9				



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

The College Burn at Westnewton Bridge has been subjected to historical human intervention that confined the burn into a narrowed active corridor through flood embankments constructed on either side of the channel. This has restricted sediment storage to a confined area which, over time, has resulted in this river corridor being raised relative to the adjacent floodplain. This situation now presents an increased flood risk to Kirknewton (situated under a kilometre to the east of the burn) and a direct erosion risk to Westnewton Bridge (dynamic geomorphic processes causing the river to approach the bridge at an oblique angle, presenting a structural risk to central pier and foundations). As a consequence of this, the Northumberland County Council (NCC) undertook emergency river engineering works to realign (straighten) the channel back through the centre major bridge arch and repair the damage to the bridge pier foundations. However, there are concerns that this alignment is unstable given the highly dynamic character of the river in this area.

As a result of these processes, NCC commissioned an assessment of the hydrodynamic and sediment transport processes on the College Burn with the subsequent development of measures to protect the bridge and reduce flood risk to Kirknewton (cbec, 2014). The options assessment process has determined that designs for alleviation of flood risk and management of dynamic geomorphic processes at Westnewton Bridge should aim to:

- 1. 'Train' the channel upstream of the bridge so that it approached the central arch normal to the orientation of the structure.
- 2. As much as was practicable, utilise a 'soft' engineering approach that considered natural fluvial processes.
- 3. Ensure unhindered fish passage beyond the bridge under normal flow conditions.
- 4. Enhance an embankment to prevent flow onto the right hand floodplain.
- 5. Modify the abutments of the dismantled railway bridge ~100 m north (downstream) of Westnewton Bridge.

1.2 REGULATORY BACKGROUND

The Water Framework Directive (WFD) (Council Directive 2000/60/EC establishing a framework for community action in the field of water policy) was adopted by the European Commission in December 2000. The WFD requires that all EU Member States must prevent deterioration and protect/ enhance the status of aquatic ecosystems. This means that Member States must ensure that new schemes do not adversely impact upon the status of aquatic ecosystems, and that historical modifications that are already impacting need to be addressed. The directive was transposed into law in England and Wales by the Water Environment (Water Framework Directive) (England and Wales) Regulations 2003, which mean that the requirements of the WFD need to be considered at all stages of the planning and development process.

Unlike the EU Birds and Habitats Directives (EC Directive on the Conservation of Wild Birds (2009/147/EC) and EC Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora (92/43/EEC), respectively), which apply only to designated sites, the WFD applies to all water bodies, including those that are man-made. The consideration of the proposals under the WFD will, therefore,



apply to all surface and groundwater bodies that have the potential to be impacted by the Westnewton Bridge project.

In addition, the location of proposed works overlays the Tweed Catchment Rivers – England: Till Catchment SAC and SSSI nature conservation designations. Potential effects from the scheme may result in an adverse impact on the species and features supporting these designations. An assessment of the potential effects from the proposed scheme on these habitats and species is, therefore, included in this report.

1.3 PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES TO BE IMPLEMENTED

The proposed measures defined in the preferred option of the report include:

- Installation of large wood structures to 'train' the channel in the section upstream of the bridge. In natural settings, large wood structures can provide a significant stabilising effect in dynamic river environments, training flow towards the channel centre and protecting banks composed of otherwise highly erodible material. For the Westnewton design, it was proposed that a series of large logs (with root balls intact) were to be introduced to the channel margins.
- Roughening of the concrete apron structure with cobble and boulder sized material and the
 associated construction of a low elevation 'slot' to provide variation of flow depths and
 velocities that could be exploited by fish for safe upstream/ downstream passage, ensuring
 suitable appropriate/ suitable hydraulic characteristics are provided across the bridge apron.
- Raising of an embankment upstream (approximately 40m extent) where existing conditions modelling indicated a significant preferential flood route. This will be achieved by raising the local level at the breach to the 200-year return interval flood level (71.8 m AOD) plus 0.5 m freeboard.
- Remodelling the railway abutment on the left bank downstream of the bridge. Here, an approximately 2 m wide shelf will be created by levelling a portion of the abutment. This increases conveyance and removes a large part of the restriction to flow caused by the abutment that currently results in a significant backwater effect upstream under flood flows.





Figure 1.1. Westnewton Bridge scheme location.



2. METHODS

Following the recommendations made by Murphy et al. (2012), the approach adopted in this assessment is to determine whether the scheme has:

- Potential to cause deterioration in surface water body status by adversely affecting biological, hydromorphological and/or physico-chemical quality elements;
- Potential to cause deterioration in groundwater body status by adversely affecting quantitative and chemical quality elements;
- Potential to prevent achieving WFD status objectives by impacting upon proposed mitigation measures already identified for water bodies in the area; and
- Potential to incorporate WFD mitigation measures where possible.

Article 4(6) of the WFD states that temporary deterioration in the status of a water body will not be in breach of the WFD if all practicable steps are taken to prevent further deterioration and measures taken will not compromise the recovery of the quality of the water body once the temporary change in conditions is over.

2.1 APPROACHES TO COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT

There is no formally published methodology for the assessment of plans or projects in relation to undertaking WFD compliance assessments. There are, however, several sets of guidance that have developed in relation to undertaking such assessments, predominantly written by the Environment Agency. Considered to be the most relevant to the Westnewton Bridge project proposals is 'Assessing new modifications for compliance with WFD' (NEAS Operational Instruction 488_10) (Environment Agency, 2010b), an Environment Agency internal operational instruction which has been produced to guide WFD assessment of new modifications to surface waters.

For the purposes of undertaking the WFD compliance assessment for the Westnewton Bridge project, it is proposed that the broad methodologies outlined in NEAS Operational Instruction 488_10 is used in order to undertake the assessment.



3. BASELINE INFORMATION

The water bodies that could potentially be affected by the scheme were identified using the Environment Agency's online WFD mapping system (part of the 'What's in your backyard?' tool) and SEPA's RBMP Interactive Map. Water bodies were selected for inclusion in the initial stages of the compliance assessment using the following criteria:

- All surface water bodies (including rivers, lakes, transitional and coastal waters) that could potentially be directly impacted by the scheme (i.e. those within the scheme footprint);
- Any surface water bodies further upstream that have direct connectivity and could potentially be affected by the proposed works;
- Any surface water bodies downstream that have direct connectivity and could potentially be affected by the proposed works; [and]
- Any groundwater bodies that underlie the proposed scheme.

3.1 SCREENING OF RIVER WATER BODIES FOR ASSESSMENT

The following river water bodies have been identified as relevant in geographical and hydrological terms to take through the WFD compliance assessment process because they have the potential to be affected by the proposed scheme:

College Burn from Lamden Burn to River Glen (GB102021072940): The College Burn water body is currently at Good ecological status. The proposed works are located on this water body. Assessment of proposed activities is therefore required to assess whether any impacts with the potential to compromise the maintenance of Good water body ecological status can be expected. Detailed quality element classification for this water body is presented in Table 3.1.

River Glen from College Burn to River Till (GB102021072950): The River Glen is a heavily modified water body, currently at Moderate ecological potential. The proposed works are located in close proximity to this water body (approx. 200m upstream). Given the close geographical proximity and direct hydrologic connectivity to the location of proposed works, any impacts are likely to propagate downstream, potentially causing deterioration in ecological potential in the Glen water body. This water body is, therefore, screened in for assessment in **Section 4** of this report. Quality element classification is detailed in **Table 3.2**.

There are several other river water bodies in the project area, but these have been ruled out of the preliminary assessment because construction activities are not considered to represent potential impacts to their ecological status:

College Burn from Source to Lambden Burn (GB102021072870): Proposed works are located approximately 7km downstream of this water body. No activities with the potential to impact the quantity and dynamics of flow, the present sediment regime or with the potential to create barriers to river continuity that extend upstream to this water body are proposed. This water body will, therefore, not be considered for further assessment under this report.

River Till from River Glen to River Tweed (GB102021073050): This water body is located approximately 15km downstream of proposed works. Given the large geographical distance separating the two water bodies and the small relative contribution of the College Burn to the



catchment area of this water body, no impacts with the potential to cause deterioration on this water body are expected.

water body details (from Solway Tweed RBMP)					
Water body name	College Burn from Lamden Burn to River Glen				
Water body ID	GB102021072940				
River Basin District	Solway Tweed				
Hydromorphological	Not Heavily Modified	/ Artificial Water Body			
designation (and reason)		Altincial Water Bouy			
Current Overall Status	Good Status				
Status Objective	Good Status to be ma	intained			
Justification if not good by 2015	N/A				
Sensitive habitats/	Tweed Catchment Riv	ers – England, Till Catchme	ent SAC and SSSI		
Protected areas		ers – England. Thi Caterina			
Flomont	Current Status	Predicted Status by	Reason for Failure		
Liement	(and confidence)	2015	Reason for Fanare		
Biological quality elements	5				
Fish	-	-			
Invertebrates	High	High	-		
Macrophytes	-	-	-		
Phytobenthos	High	High	-		
Hydromorphological qualit	y elements				
Hydrology	High	High			
Morphology	Good	Good			
Physico-chemical quality elements					
Ammonia	-	-	-		
Dissolved Oxygen	High	High	-		
pH High		High	-		
Soluble reactive	High	High	-		
phosphorus	5				
Temperature	High	High	-		
Specific Pollutants	High	High			

 Table 3.1 – College Burn from Lamden Burn to River Glen (GB102021072940) classification

 Water body details (from Solway Twood BPMP)

Reasons for failure

None



Water body details (from Solway Tweed RBMP)					
Water body name	River Glen from College Burn to River Till				
Water body ID	GB102021072950				
River Basin District	Solway Tweed				
Hydromorphological	Heavily Medified Water	Pody (Flood Protect	ion)		
designation (and reason)	Heavily Moullieu water Body (Flood Protection)				
Current Overall Status	Moderate Status				
Status Objective	Good Status by 2027				
Justification if not good	N/A				
by 2015					
Sensitive habitats/	Tweed Catchment River	rs – England: Till Cate	hmor	1222 bre JA2 to	
Protected areas		5 – England. Thi Cate	iiiiei		
Flement	Current Status	Predicted Status	by	Reason for Failure	
Liement	(and confidence)	2015		Reason for Fanare	
Biological quality element	s				
Fish	-	-			
Invertebrates	High	High		-	
Macrophytes	-	-		-	
Phytobenthos	-	-		-	
Hydromorphological quali	ty elements				
Hydrology	High	High			
Morphology	Moderate	Moderate		Technically	
worphology	Woderate			infeasible (M3a)	
Physico-chemical quality e	elements				
Ammonia	-	-		-	
Dissolved Oxygen	High	High		-	
рН	High	High		-	
Soluble reactive	High	High		_	
phosphorus	i ligit	111811		-	
Temperature	High	High		-	
Specific Pollutants	High	High			
Mitigation Measures					
Mitigation measures	Moderate				
assessment					
Measures in place	- Retain marginal aquatic and riparian habitats				
	- Set-back embankmer	nts			
Measures not in place	- Improve floodplain connectivity				
	- Sediment management strategies				

 Table 3.2 – River Glen from College Burn to River Till (GB102021072950) classification

Reasons for failure

M3a – Morphology: Physical modification – Flood protection



3.2 SCREENING OF GROUNDWATER BODIES FOR ASSESSMENT

The area of proposed works is underlain by this single groundwater body, the Till Devonian and Lower Carboniferous (GB40202G700100). This is currently at Good Chemical and Quantitative Status. No groundbreaking activities with the potential to impact these quality elements are proposed as part of this project. This groundwater body is, therefore, screened out from further assessment under this report.

4. ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS FROM WESTNEWTON BRIDGE PROJECT

This section summarises the results of the assessment used to determine whether the proposed scheme has the potential to cause deterioration in status or prevent the achievement of WFD objectives for the water bodies screened in **Section 3**.

The assessment presented in **Tables 4.1** and **4.2** details potential impacts from each proposed restoration activities.

Assessment tables key:

Effect on quality elements or Mitigation Measures (MM)		
No deterioration/ Positive benefit/ No impact on or contributes to		
delivery of MM		
Does not impact quality elements / contribute to MM or prevent delivery		
- No change		
Deterioration-further assessments needed/ conflicts with or prevents		
delivery of MM		



Table 4.1 Assessment of impacts in the College Burn from Lamden Burn to Glen (GB102021072940)

Water body Name & I	Water body Name & ID: College Burn from Lamden Burn to Glen (GB102021072940)				
Activities	Installation of large wood structures	'Roughening' of concrete apron at bridge	Raising of embankment	Re-profiling of downstream bridge abutment	
Element (current and predicted status)	Predicted Effect				
Biological Quality Elen	nents	Enhancement of fich	• Localised raising of	This activity is expected to	
Fish	 Improved spawning and nursery habitat as a result of increased flow heterogeneity and localised 'flushing flows' in areas of narrowed flow. Improvement of habitats through localised trapping of fine sediments and development of marginal cover 	 Enhancement of fish passage through creation of diverse flow depth and velocity through concrete apron structure design. 	 Localised raising of embankments is not expected to impact in- channel habitats or floodplain connectivity to at a water body level. 	 This activity is expected to result in localised positive effects through the creation of a two-stage channel crossectional - 'shelf' section. However, effect is deemed too localised to significantly contribute to water body level classification. 	
Invertebrates	 Improved in-channel habitat diversity is expected to support a more diverse range of species, contributing to the maintenance of 'High' ecological status. 	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element. 	 Localised raising of embankments is not expected to impact habitats for invertebrates at a water body level. 	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element. 	



Phytobenthos	 The effect upon Phytobenthos is expected to be positive in association with improved flow heterogeneity. 	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element. 	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element. 	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element.
Macrophytes	 No significant effect at a wat 	ter body level for this quality ele	ement.	
Hydromorphological q	uality elements			
Quantity and	Introduction of large wood	No significant effect at a	Localised raising of	No significant effect at a
Dynamics of river	structures and creation of	water body level for this	embankments is not	water body level for this
flow	localised flow	quality element.	expected to significantly	quality element.
	heterogeneity is expected		impact this quality	
	to positively benefit		element, given their	
	geomorphic processes (i.e.		limited geographical	
	towards a more natural		extent (approximately	
	state)		0.5% of total water body	
			extent).	
Connection to	 No ground-breaking activitie 	s with the potential to impact th	his quality element are proposed	d within the scope of works.
Groundwater bodies				
River Continuity	No significant effect at a	No significant effect at a	No significant effect at a	Redesign of bridge
	water body level for this	water body level for this	water body level for this	abutments is expected to
	quality element.	quality element.	quality element.	improve conveyance
				through Westnewton
				Bridge. However, potential
				effects to river continuity
				are deemed too localised to
				result in improvements to



				river continuity at a water
				body level.
River depth and	• Introduction of large wood	Introduction of cobbles	• No significant effect at a	 Re-profiling of bridge
width variation	structures is expected to	and boulders at concrete	water body level for this	abutments is expected to
	positively contribute	apron structure is	quality element.	improve river width
	through direct variation in	expected to positively		variation through creation
	channel geometry	impact this quality		of the 'shelf' section.
	(constructions and	element. However, given		However, given the limited
	expansions relating to	the limited geographical		geographical extent of
	wood structures) and	extent of proposed		proposed implementation,
	towards assisted natural	implementation, this		this improvement is not
	recovery of channel form	improvement is not		expected to be significant at
	through promotion of	expected to be significant		a water body level.
	more dynamic	at a water body level.		
	morphology throughout			
	the study reach.			
Structure and	Through the influence on	Introduction of cobbles	 No significant effect at a 	 No significant effect at a
substrate of the river	increased physical channel	and boulders is expected	water body level for this	water body level for this
bed	heterogeneity (and,	to support improvements	quality element.	quality element.
	therefore, hydraulics), the	to the substrate and		
	introduction of large wood	structure of the river bed		
	structures is expected to	through the Westnewton		
	support the localised	Bridge. However, given		
	'flushing' of fine	the limited geographical		
	sediments, improving	extent of proposed		
	structure and substrate of	implementation, this		
	the river bed throughout	improvement is not		
	the study reach.	expected to be significant		
		at a water body level.		



Structure of the	 Introduction of large wood 	No significant offect at a	Changes in extent and	No significant offect at a
Structure of the riparian zone	 Introduction of large wood structures is expected to result in localised areas of marginal sediment deposition with the potential to support the establishment of riparian vegetation. 	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element. 	 Changes in extent and height of existing embankments is expected to result in short-term detrimental impacts to quality of riparian habitats. However, given their limited geographical extent (approximately 0.5% of total water body extent), proposed measures are not expected to impact this quality element at a 	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element.
Physico-chemical qual	ity elements			
Dissolved Oxygen/ Ammonia/ pH/ Temperature/ Specific pollutants	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element. 	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element. 	 No significant effect at a water body level for this quality element. 	 There is potential for the release of contaminants into the watercourse during the redesign of bridge abutments, which could potentially impact upon the physico-chemical quality elements. Implementation of Pollution Prevention guidelines 5 (PPG5 – Works and maintenance in or near



				water) should be adopted to
				decrease likelihood of
				accidental contamination of
				water body. Given the small
				likelihood of impacts
				associated with this element
				of construction following
				implementation of PPG5,
				any potential effects are
				unlikely to be of sufficient
				significance to cause
				deterioration in water body
				status
Critical/ Sensitive	Reference to important populations of Salme	on, Brown Trout and	d Lamprey have been included ir	n the Tweed Catchment Rivers –
Habitats	England: Till Catchment SAC and SSSI citation. The proposed scheme is expected to result in improvements to fish passage			
	through Westnewton Bridge, benefiting mig	through Westnewton Bridge, benefiting migratory species and contributing to a favourable condition assessment within these		
	designations. In addition, no significant detri	imental impact to ir	n-channel and riparian habitats tl	hat support the diverse
	macrophyte species assemblage present in t	hese designations h	nas been identified.	
Mitigation measures	Given the small likelihood of impacts associa	ited with all elemen	ts of construction and operation	of the scheme, any potential
	changes are unlikely to be of sufficient signif	icance to cause det	erioration in water body status.	Additional mitigation measures
	are, therefore, not required.			
Achievement/	No proposed project activities have been ide	entified that are con	trary to the maintenance of Goo	od Ecological Status in this water
Maintenance of GES	body.			
Cumulative impacts	No other related schemes are proposed for t	this water body and	the project team have not ident	tified any others in the area
	which may have an in-combination impact.			
Delivery of WFD	The proposed scheme can be expected to contribute towards the delivery of WFD objectives in this water body through			
objectives	improvements to flow heterogeneity (associated with installation of large wood structures) and improvements to fish passage			
	at Westnewton Bridge (associated with 'roug	ghening' of concret	e apron structure).	



Table 4.2 Assessment of impacts in River Glen from College Burn to River Till (GB102021072950)

Water body Name & ID: Glen from College Burn to Till (GB102021072950)						
Activities	Installation of large	'Roughening' of	concrete	Raising of embankment	Redesign of bridge abutment	
	wood structures	apron at bridge				
Flowent (ourrent and	Dradiated Effect					
Element (current and	Predicted Effect					
Pielesiael Quelity Flowents						
Biological Quality Elements						
Fish/Invertebrates/	 No projected disturb 	pance to the hydrom	orphologic	al or physico-chemical elemer	nts with the potential to propagate	
Phythenthos/ Macrophytes	downstream to this	water body has bee	n identified	l. Therefore, no detrimental in	npacts to the classification of	
	biological quality ele	biological quality elements or implementation of measures to achieve good ecological potential is expected.				
Hydromorphological quality ele	ements					
Quantity and Dynamics of	Proposed works are not expected to result in long-term effects on flow and sediment dynamics at a water body					
river flow/ Connection to	level. No significant hydromorphological impacts with the potential to propagate downstream to this water body					
Groundwater bodies/ River	are, therefore, expected. In addition, no impact to the mitigation measures defined in Table 3.2 is deemed likely					
Continuity/ River depth and	given the absence of direct intervention on embankments and flood defence structures in this water body.					
width variation/ Structure						
and substrate of the river bed						
Physico-chemical quality eleme	Physico-chemical quality elements					
Dissolved Oxygen/ Ammonia/	• There is potential for	r the release of cont	aminants i	nto the watercourse during co	onstruction of proposed scheme,	
pH/ Temperature/ Specific	which could propagate downstream, impacting upon the physico-chemical quality elements of this water body.					
pollutants	Pollution Prevention	Guidelines 5 (PPG5	– Works a	nd maintenance in or near wa	ter) should be adopted to decrease	
	likelihood of accidental contamination of upstream water body. Given the small likelihood of impacts associate			likelihood of impacts associated		
	with this element of construction following implementation of PPG5, any potential effects are unlikely to be of			al effects are unlikely to be of		
	sufficient significanc	e to propagate dow	nstream to	this water body, causing dete	rioration in ecological potential.	



Critical/ Sensitive Habitats	Reference to important populations of Salmon, Brown Trout and Lamprey have been included in the Tweed Catchment
	Rivers – England: Till Catchment SAC and SSSI citation. The proposed scheme is expected to result in improvements to
	fish passage through Westnewton Bridge, benefiting migratory species and contributing to a favourable condition
	assessment within these designations. In addition, no significant detrimental impact to in-channel and riparian habitats
	that support the diverse macrophyte species assemblage present in these designations has been identified.
Mitigation measures	Given the absence of direct interventions in this water body or long term effects on flow and sediment regimes during
	the construction and operation of the scheme, any potential changes are unlikely to be of sufficient significance to
	cause deterioration in water body status. Additional mitigation measures are therefore not required.
Achievement/ Maintenance of	No proposed project activities have been identified that are contrary to the achievement of Good Ecological Potential
GES	in this water body.
Cumulative impacts	No other related schemes are proposed for this water body and the project team have not identified any others in the
	area which may have an in-combination impact.
Delivery of WFD objectives	No contributions to the delivery of WFD objectives in this water body are predicted in association with the
	implementation of proposed scheme.



5. CONCLUSION

Following the assessment presented in this document it was concluded that no detrimental impacts to quality elements or the capability to achieve good ecological potential are to be expected from proposed works. No further assessment is required.

The assessment presented in this report demonstrates that the proposed works are compliant with the WFD and contribute towards the delivery of water body objectives in the College Burn (GB102021072940). The nearest downstream water body (River Glen from College Burn to River Till - GB102021072950) has been assessed as receiving no detrimental impacts from upstream works with the potential to compromise the delivery of its WFD objectives. No further assessment of impacts from this scheme is, therefore, deemed necessary.



6. **REFERENCES**

Environment Agency (2010) Assessing new modifications for compliance with WFD: Detailed supplementary guidance. NEAS Supplementary Guidance 488_10_SD01.

European Centre for River Restoration (2014) Healthy Catchments – managing for flood risk and WFD. http://www.restorerivers.eu/RiverRestoration/Floodriskmanagement/HealthyCatchmentsmanagingf orfloodriskWFD/tabid/3098/Default.aspx

Joint Defra/EA Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management R&D Programme (2009) WFD Expert Assessment of Flood Management Impacts. R&D Technical Report FD2609/TR

Murphy, J., Cocker, A., Munro, B., Vetori, C. and Woodward, R. (2012) Integrating WFD in EIA: experience so far. IEMA EIA Quality Mark Article. <u>http://www.iema.net/qmark/articles</u>

<u>Natural England (2015)</u> Tweed Catchment Rivers – England: Till Catchment SAC and SSSI notification. http://www.sssi.naturalengland.org.uk/citation/citation_photo/2000288.pdf

SEPA (2009) Solway Tweed River Basin Management Plan. <u>http://www.sepa.org.uk/ environment/</u> water/river-basin-management-planning/publications#RBMPplan