

# **Planning Statement**

**Section 73 application for variation of condition  
3 of planning permission 17NP0037 to allow  
year-round holiday occupancy only of  
lodges 28 & 29**

**Otterburn Hall Estate, Otterburn, Newcastle  
upon Tyne, NE19 1HE**

**Prepared by  
R & K Wood Planning LLP**

**On behalf of  
Lodge Owner**

**March 2019**



## Introduction

- 1.1 This statement is prepared as part of a section 73 application relating to lodges 28 and 29 at Otterburn Hall, Otterburn. This statement is provided in order to provide a clear explanation of the purpose of the variation application and the revised wording of the condition which is sought to be put in place going forward. This statement will also provide further information in relation to the context of this application within the wider lodge site and more recent permissions relating to lodges outwith this original planning permission.

## Site History

- 1.2 The section 73 application relates to lodges 28 and 29 at Otterburn Hall which sits on a site originally granted permission in 1987. This original permission for development of this site dates from 1988 ref 87E419. That permission originally granted consent for the siting of 13 static caravans and 22 chalets at Otterburn Hall, Otterburn as amended by a plan submitted on 26 January 1988. That permission included a condition (condition 7) which stated that:

*'The static caravan and chalet units here approved, shall not be regularly occupied between 1<sup>st</sup> November in one year and 1<sup>st</sup> March in the following year'*

That condition was subsequently varied through a 1993 permission which was 93NP0009. That approval put in place a revised condition 7 which stated that:

*'The chalet units here approved shall not be occupied for any purpose between 15 January and 15 February in any year'*

- 1.3 Ultimately only 19 of the lodges originally granted permission through the 1988 and subsequent 1993 permission were developed. These lodges were developed in compliance with the original permissions in a group at the north west of the site. The remaining lodges were not however ultimately developed under that permission due to changes which were sought to be implemented in relation to the detailed siting and design of the lodges which differed from that original approved. It is therefore the case that outwith these

original 16 lodges, subsequent lodges developed on site were developed as a result of gaining new planning approvals for each individual lodge development. These lodges which can be viewed as being the 'modern permission' lodges are located along the southern boundary of the site and in a group to the east of the site located around the eastern turning head. To date there are 16 lodges which have been developed in addition to the 19 which were developed under the 'old permission'. This application relates to lodges 28 and 29 developed under one of these new permissions. These new permissions were the subject of a revised occupancy condition and this occupancy condition states that:

*'The lodge hereby approved shall be occupied for holiday purposes only, shall not be occupied as a persons sole or main place of residences or for any purpose between 15 January and 15 February in any year.'*

#### Background to the Application

- 1.4 This application is brought forward in order to vary the existing occupancy condition in the context of a number of similar applications which relate to the majority of lodges on the site. Parallel applications are being submitted for all lodges which have consent under new permissions and which have identically worded conditions to that which is the subject of this application. In addition to these applications, a section 73 application has also been made in relation to the 'old permission' lodges in order to replace the historic occupancy condition on 14 of the 17 lodges. In total the applications are therefore submitted in order to bring forward a uniform and consistent modern holiday condition on the site which will be applicable to 31 of the 34 lodges which have been constructed on the site to date. At present across the site there are effectively two different conditions which can be found on any of the lodges. For the old permission lodges the condition effectively allows unfettered residential occupation albeit with a requirement for occupancy to cease during a 1-month period between 15 January and 15 February. For lodges such as this one with a modern permission the condition has a similar restriction in respect of preventing occupancy between 15 January and 15 February, but with the additional clarification that the lodges should be

occupied for holiday purposes only and should not be a persons sole or main place of residence.

1.5 For all the lodges which are the subject to applications, including these two, the need to vary the application has arisen due in large part to the need for lodge owners to seek greater flexibility in order to allow year-round holiday occupation of the lodges. These lodges and all the other lodges, which are the subject of the applications, are now rented for holiday purposes on a weekend or weekly basis and as a result of this the necessity for the break in occupancy during the 15 January to 15 February period in any year has been superseded. It may be noted that this break in occupancy which is in place, originally existed as part of the old permission for the entire site which was granted consent in 1988 under the 1987 application 87E419. This was subsequently amended through the 1993 permission 93NP0009 to reduce the original 3-month cessation of occupancy down to the 1-month period now seen in current conditions. What must be borne in mind is that the explicit purpose of that cessation of occupancy for the prescribed period was put in place in order to specifically prevent lodges being occupied as permanent residential dwellings. It served no other purpose. Notwithstanding this, it can be noted that in relation to the modern permissions notwithstanding that the condition already prevents lodges being used as a persons sole or main place of residence, the restriction on occupancy from 15 January to 15 February has still been maintained. In reality, there is no and never was any actual reason to include this historic element of the occupancy conditions on the site in a condition which limited the lodges use to holiday purposes only. In this context the condition which now applies to this lodge and the other new lodges unnecessarily prevents holiday use during this one-month period. The restriction was put in place to prevent something which the rest of the condition already prevents.

1.6 In practical terms it is noted that the restriction on occupancy therefore unnecessarily removes the opportunity for lodges to be rented out for holiday purposes when in fact there is a ready market for lettings during this period. This is a result of the attractive nature of the Northumberland area for tourist visits and also in relation to Otterburn for the provision of accommodation capable of serving the significantly increased number of visitors visiting the village and surrounding area for the purposes of weddings. It should also be

noted, that this period which prevents occupancy also often falls within the school half term period which as a result unnecessarily removes potential visitor spend within the local economy. The issue of this one-month occupancy restriction is also known to have caused issues for the LPA in terms of enforcement monitoring due to an ambiguous position in relation to what may or may not be considered occupancy with reference to activities such as lodge maintenance etc. In order to resolve all these issues both for lodge owners in respect of the ability to rent their lodges year-round and for the LPA in order to have clarity and a consistent condition across the majority of the site, it has therefore been concluded in consultation with all the relevant lodge owners that it is expedient and sensible to make the applications now proposed.

#### Proposed Wording of Varied Occupancy Condition

- 1.7 Any section 73 variation application requires an applicant to propose wording for the condition that they seek to be put in place as a replacement for the condition in question. It can be noted however that an LPA can, with the agreement of the applicant in any application propose an amended worded condition if, through this amendment, it addresses any concerns in respect of the proposed wording whilst still maintaining the purpose of the applicant's submission.
- 1.8 The application therefore formally proposes that the existing occupancy condition be replaced by a modern up to date holiday occupancy condition worded as follows:

*'The chalet hereby approved relates to the provision of short-term accommodation only and shall not be used at any time as permanent residential accommodation. Occupiers of the approved accommodation shall occupy this for holiday purposes only and shall not occupy this as their sole or main place of residence, or as a second home. The operators shall maintain an up to date register of the names of all occupiers of the holiday accommodation hereby approved and of their main home addresses and shall make this information available at all reasonable times to the Local Planning Authority.'*

- 1.9 This condition is a widely adopted condition which is already used by the Local Planning Authority. As such the wording is not considered to be unusual or contentious and is fully consistent with conditions used by the Authority.

#### Assessment of Proposed Variation

- 1.10 In relation to the impact of the proposals it can be seen that in reality there would be no change in the impact of the operation of the site given that it already effectively operates for holiday purposes anyway. The condition as proposed would also deliver significant benefits to the LPA in terms of delivering uniformity and enforceability over the site in a manner which currently does not exist. In particular, the compliance with the conditions could be monitored much more easily by reference to the records which would have to be kept of occupancy as required by the condition. The lodges in question are all rented on a professional basis with full records kept by either the owners or their letting agents and in this respect records of occupancy in line with the condition could much more easily be obtained. At present, this facility does not exist under the terms of the current conditions. It is considered that the introduction of this uniformity over the majority of the lodges in the form of an up to date and modern condition is a significant benefit to the LPA which must be afforded weight in the consideration of the application. The proposed change will also help to deliver wider economic benefits for the local rural economy in line with Para 83/84 of the NPPF for the reasons set out in paragraph 1.5 of this statement.
- 1.11 Turning to the tests as prescribed in the NPPF, it can be seen that the revised worded condition as proposed complies with all of the 6 tests. These 6 tests are that the condition is:

- 1) Necessary
- 2) Relevant to planning
- 3) For the development to be permitted
- 4) Enforceable
- 5) Precised

6) Reasonable in all other respects.

1.12 It can be seen that the condition as now proposed is clearly necessary in order to ensure that the lodges are not occupied as primary residential dwellings. The condition is clearly relevant to planning and this is reflected in the fact that occupancy conditions have always been imposed on this site and continue to be of relevance to this form of development in this type of location. This assessment also applies to test 3. In relation to test 4 and the enforceability of the condition, as detailed the condition wording in its amended form is based on a modern and up to date holiday occupancy condition which is already used by the LPA. That wording in itself is also of a standardised type which can be found applied to holiday accommodation throughout the country. It is also a condition which is regularly used by Inspectors within planning appeals. As such the wording of the condition is one which is established and is clearly rigorous and enforceable, particularly with regard to the requirement for records of occupation to be kept and made available to the LPA. Given the standardised nature of the revised wording it is considered to be clearly the case that the condition is enforceable. The condition as proposed is also precise, both in relation to its content and the lodges to which it relates. The wording as detailed above is very standardised and therefore given its widespread use must be considered to be of sufficient precision to avoid any potential ambiguity. Finally, it is considered that the condition is reasonable in all other respects and specifically with regard to the fact that it does impose what may be considered more onerous requirements on the owners of the lodge. i.e. provision of letting records to LPA. As detailed, all owners of the lodge are fully aware of the implications of this varied condition and as such have accepted the condition would be worded in this form by virtue of the fact that they make in the application in the form proposed.

### Conclusion

1.13 This statement has set out the details of the application site and the lodge to which this application relates. This statement has provided a context for the assessment of the application by reference to the planning history and also the purpose of the revised occupancy condition now being brought forward. It is considered that the proposal delivers benefits to both the applicants and also the LPA in respect of bringing forward modern up to date and

enforceable conditions for the majority of the site. The proposed condition fully maintains any restrictions which exist at present in relation to preventing occupancy of lodges as prime residential dwellings whilst also delivering workable modern conditions which fit with the manner in which the majority of the lodges are being operated. We therefore consider that the proposal is fully compliant with relevant core strategy and NPPF policy and would therefore request that the condition is varied in the terms set out.