

Northumberland National Park

Northumberland National Park Authority, Eastburn, South Park, Hexham, Northumberland NE46 IBS T: 01434 605555 F: 01434 611675

Application for listed building consent for alterations, extension or demolition of a listed building.

Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990

Publication of applications on planning authority websites.

Please note that the information provided on this application form and in supporting documents may be published on the Authority's website. If you require any further clarification, please contact the Authority's planning department.

1. Site Address			
Number			
Suffix			
Property name	Redmire Bridge		
Address line 1	Tarset		
Address line 2	Falstone		
Address line 3			
Town/city	Hexham		
Postcode	NE48 1NX		
Description of site location must be completed if postcode is not known:			
Easting (x)	378789		
Northing (y)	585874		
Description			

2. Applicant Details			
Title			
First name	Jemma		
Surname	Reay		
Company name	Northumberland County Council		
Address line 1	County Hall		
Address line 2	Road From Merley Gate Roundabout To		
Address line 3	Loansdean		
Town/city	Morpeth		

2.	Appl	licant	Details	

2. Applicant Details		
Country		
Postcode	NE61 2EF	
Are you an agent acting on behalf of the applicant?		
Primary number		
Secondary number		
Fax number		
Email address		

🖲 Yes 🛛 🔾 No

3. Agent Details

Title	Mr
First name	Philip
Surname	Howarth
Company name	Northumberland County Council
Address line 1	County Hall
Address line 2	
Address line 3	
Town/city	Morpeth
Country	
Postcode	NE61 2EF
Primary number	
Secondary number	
Fax number	
Email	

4. Description of Proposed Works

Please describe details of the proposed development or works including details of proposals to alter, extend or demolish the listed building(s):

Works are required to Redmire Bridge which has been assessed as under strength to increase the Highway Loading Capacity to 40/44 tonnes (current allowable capacity is only 7.5 tonnes). Only the East Span is understrength so it is proposed CINTEC anchors will be installed from the carriageway level over the bridge at that location, removing

Only the East Span is understrength so it is proposed CINTEC anchors will be installed from the carriageway level over the bridge at that location, removing the need to work within the watercourse.

Following the CINTEC anchors installation, the existing road level and fill will be removed to the East Span, nominally 200mm thick and replaced with a waterproofing slab with a downstand at the south abutment. Similarly, waterproofing slabs will be cast over the other spans with a downstand at the north abutment. Waterproofing slabs are required because the existing bridge is subject to water ingress which affects its condition and therefore its load carrying capacity.

Has the development or work already been started without consent?

🔾 Yes 🛛 💿 No

5. Listed Building Grading

What is the grading of the listed building (as stated in the list of Buildings of Special Architectural or Historical Interest)?

5. Listed Building Grading	
 Don't know Grade I Grade II* Grade II 	
Is it an ecclesiastical building?	⊇ Don't know ⊇ Yes . ● No
6. Demolition of Listed Building	
	Q Yes ⊛ No
7. Related Proposals	
Are there any current applications, previous proposals or demolitions for the site?	Q Yes ● No
8. Immunity from Listing	
Has a Certificate of Immunity from Listing been sought in respect of this building?	⊇Yes ◉No
9. Listed Building Alterations	
Do the proposed works include alterations to a listed building?	⊇Yes ●No

10. Materials

Does the proposed development require any materials to be used?

Please provide a description of existing and proposed materials and finishes to be used (including type, colour and name for each material) demolition excluded

Please add materials by using the dropdown list to select the type, clicking 'Add' and entering all the details in the popup box

Туре	Existing materials and finishes	Proposed materials and finishes
Other CINTEC anchors	Masonry arch barrel to east span.	65mm diameter holes will be drilled through the arch barrel with tangent points broadly at the quarter points. The holes, typically at 400mm centres, will be filled with 25mm diameter stainless steel bars and grouted in.
Other Concrete waterproofing slabs	None.	Existing road level and fill will be removed, nominally 200mm thick and replaced with a reinforced concrete waterproofing slab with a downstand at the abutments.
Other Verges to the bridge	Existing grass verges	New verges will be formed from setts laid in concrete.

Are you submitting additional information on submitted plans, drawings or a design and access statement?

🖲 Yes 🛛 🔾 No

🖲 Yes 🛛 🔾 No

If Yes, please state references for the plans, drawings and/or design and access statement

HB177318-B-C199-14-05 Working Areas/Location Plan HB177318-B-C199-14-04 Proposed General Arrangement Redmire Bridge Design and Access Statement Rev 1 Extended Phase 1 Update Report Protected Species Report - Redmire Bridge

11. Neighbour and Community Consultation

Have you consulted your neighbours or the local community about the proposal?

Planning Portal Reference: PP-09983050

🖲 Yes 🛛 🔍 No

11. Neighbour and Community Consultation If Yes, please provide details:			
Letters outlining the str	rengthening proposals have been sent to the Local Counc	cillor and Parish Clerk.	
12. Site Visit			
Can the site be seen fr	rom a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other publ	lic land?	Yes ONO
 The agent The applicant Other person 	y needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, v ected, please provide contact details:	whom should they contact?	
Title			
First name			
Surname			
Telephone number			
Email address			

Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application?	

 14. Authority Employee/Member

 With respect to the Authority, is the applicant and/or agent one of the following:

 (a) a member of staff

 (b) an elected member

 (c) related to a member of staff

 (d) related to a member

 (d) related to an elected member

 It is an important principle of decision-making that the process is open and transparent.

 It is an important principle of decision-making that the process is open and transparent.

 If the purposes of this question, "related to" means related, by birth or otherwise, closely enough that a fair-minded and informed observer, having considered the facts, would conclude that there was bias on the part of the decision-maker in the Local Planning Authority.

 Do any of the above statements apply?

 If yes, please provide details of their name, role, and how they are related:

○ Yes ● No

15. Certificates

CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP - CERTIFICATE A - Certificate under Regulation 6 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Regulations 1990

I certify/The applicant certifies that on the day 21 days before the date of this application nobody except myself/the applicant was the owner (owner is a person with a freehold interest or leasehold interest with at least 7 years left to run) of any part of the land or building to which the application relates.

Person role	
 The applicant The agent 	
Title	Mr
First name	Philip

15. Certificates		
Surname	Howarth	
Declaration date (DD/MM/YYYY)	28/06/2021	
Declaration made		

16. Declaration

I/we hereby apply for planning permission/consent as described in this form and the accompanying plans/drawings and additional information. I/we confirm that, to the best of my/our knowledge, any facts stated are true and accurate and any opinions given are the genuine opinions of the person(s) giving them.